

DDA  
Executive Registry  
50007-0  
10/39

57-2802

SEP 7 1957  
R. J. [Signature]

**MEMORANDUM TO :** Inspector General  
 Deputy Director (Plans)  
 Deputy Director (Intelligence)  
 Deputy Director (Support)  
 Deputy Director (Coordination)

**SUBJECT :** Inspector General's Inquiry into TDY Foreign Travel by Headquarters Personnel

I have given careful consideration to your comprehensive study on TDY Foreign Travel by Headquarters Personnel and to the comments thereon by the DD/P, DD/I and DD/S. I have taken action on the recommendations contained in this report as follows:

- ✗ Paragraph VII. C.
- ✗ Recommendation 1.a. - Not approved for the various reasons cited by the DD/P, DD/I and DD/S in their respective comments. However, while I do not consider it proper to vest in the DD/P the "authority and responsibility for the regulation and control" of all TDY travel by Headquarters personnel, I do agree that DD/P must continue to monitor and concur in all such travel. Therefore, I desire that a system be implemented by the various components whereby all proposed foreign travel be reviewed by the operating official concerned prior to submission to the DD/P, or his single designee, for concurrence. Upon concurrence by the DD/P, the travel will be approved by the Deputy Director concerned, or his single designee. Such a system should place responsibilities where they can be properly exercised as well as accomplishing desired controls.
- ✗ Recommendation 1.b. - Approved, but this approval shall not be construed to mean six months advance notification of each proposed trip. Rather, this requirement shall be for the O/DCT, DD/I, DD/S and DD/C to furnish to the DD/P semi-annually a schedule of their respective contemplated TDY travel during the next following six month period.
- ✗ Recommendations 2.a. and b. - Not approved insofar as an arbitrary 15% reduction in travel expenditures and numbers of trips are concerned. However, every effort to effect economies in travel via tighter controls, shorter TDY trips, greater use of off-season travel, etc. is approved.

X Recommendations 3.a. and b. - Approved.

X Recommendation 4.a. - Not approved. However, I should like to have the DCI and DD/P prepare a list of those stations and bases where in fact, "travel has assumed such proportions....that it is interfering with...the accomplishment of their assigned missions" (page 46 of the DCI survey). Depending upon the seriousness of the situation in these areas consideration can then be given to implementation of this recommendation at certain stations and bases.

X Recommendation 4.b. - Not approved. The provisions of Notice [redacted] shortly to be re-issued in new Regulation [redacted] STATINTL seem to be entirely appropriate. In general I consider courtesy calls highly advisable unless security or operational considerations dictate otherwise. It should be clearly understood that courtesy calls do not necessarily need to be received by the Station Chief personally. Visitors may be received by a designee or designees of the Station Chief but at least the Station is afforded the opportunity of knowing who is in the area and what they are planning to do in the area. Also, the Station is in a position to offer suggestions and guidance which may preclude the traveler unwittingly making a security slip which would be prejudicial to the Station and/or certain of its personnel.

X Recommendation 4.c. - Approved.

X Recommendation 4.d. - Approved. DD/S to prepare an appropriate letter for DCI signature.

X Recommendation 4.e. - Approved, except that Central Processing Branch, Office of Personnel, is designated as the implementing office.

STATINTL

STATINTL

O/DCI/[redacted] Jmf 7 Sept 57

Dist:

Orig - DCI  
2 - DCI  
1 - DD/P  
1 - DD/I  
1 - DD/S  
1 - DD/C  
1 - FMC via Reading  
1 - ER

C. P. CANNELL  
Lieutenant General, USAF  
Acting Director